

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/761,671	09/761,671 01/18/2001		Jeffrey Scott Eder		5378
53787	7590	09/08/2006		EXAM	INER
ASSET TR					· -
2020 MALTBY ROAD SUITE 7362				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOTHELL,		21		<u> </u>	
				DATE MAILED: 00/08/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/761,671	EDER, JEFFREY SCOTT		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Yehdega Retta	3622		
ı				

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-

The Appeal Brief filed on 27 August 2006 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

1205.0	oid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 13) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.  NSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.
1. 🛛	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. 🛛	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. 🔲	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. 🛛	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner <b>and relied upon by appellant in the appeal</b> , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. 🛛	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
	1.)The brief does not contain all of the appropriate headings required by 37 CFR 41.37. "Issues" should read "Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal". Grouping of claims is not one of the 10 proper headings.  2.)The related proceedings appendix heading is missing. If there are no such copies of decisions being submitted in the appeal, then a related proceedings appendix should be included with the indication "none".  3.)The status of claims is inconsistent with the claims listed in the examiner's final office action. Claims 69-103 stand rejected since the 07/07/06 after final was not entered by the examiner those claims should be listed in the appeal.

Patent Appeals Specialist